	NA3fMASc	
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
4	V.	23 Cr. 347 (JGK)
5	ALEXANDER MASHINSKY,	
6 7	Defendant.	Conference
8		New York, N.Y. October 3, 2023
9		11:00 a.m.
10	Before:	
11	HON. JOHN G. KOELTL,	
12		District Judge
13	APPEARANCES	
14 15	DAMIAN WILLIAMS  United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York  BY: ALLISON C. NICHOLS	
16	ADAM S. HOBSON Assistant United States Attorneys	
17 18	BENJAMIN R. ALLIE ROBERT S. FRENCHMAN	
19	MARK L. MUKASEY MICHAEL WESTFAL	
20	Attorneys for Defendant	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Τ	(Case called; appearances noted)	
2	THE COURT: Good morning. Where are we?	
3	MR. HOBSON: Your Honor, the government has made	
4	document productions on August 8, 19, and September 14. With	
5	that most recent document production, discovery is now	
6	complete.	
7	The parties have also discussed the proposed trial	
8	schedule, and we were going to jointly request that the Court	
9	set a trial date for some time in September 2024, if that is	
10	convenient for the Court.	
11	The government estimates approximately three weeks for	
12	its case in chief.	
13	THE COURT: Why so long?	
14	MR. HOBSON: Because of the number of witnesses, the	
15	number.	
16	THE COURT: No. Why so long for the trial date?	
17	MR. HOBSON: So long away? So far into the future?	
18	THE COURT: Yeah.	
19	MR. HOBSON: We understand that defense counsel has a	
20	trial in April that prevents it from being sooner.	
21	THE COURT: Okay.	
22	Does the defense want to be heard?	
23	MR. FRENCHMAN: Yes, your Honor. It's about two	
24	terabytes of discovery, which is it quite a bit. Some of it	
25	has just very recently been processed. We need a lot of time	

to review it, obviously.

As Mr. Hobson said, we have a trial set in April in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. We also have trials that our firm is involved in in this district in October and a sentencing in November, so we think after Labor Day makes sense for a trial.

THE COURT: Okay.

Do you have any thoughts about defense motions?

MR. FRENCHMAN: Yes.

We're contemplating at least one motion that will go to the sufficiency of the counts. There may be others, and as I said, we have just processed the last batch of discovery that we're going through, so we conferred with the government before this conference, and we think the end of January makes sense for a deadline for the filing of motions.

THE COURT: What do you mean by a motion with respect to the sufficiency of the counts?

MR. FRENCHMAN: As you may know, the law on what's a security in this context is fluid, and so we're evaluating that as one potential motion. We're going to be looking at the returns versus the warrants to see if everything was in scope. That's another potential motion.

And we're still reviewing discovery, so I don't want to --

THE COURT: I'm not attempting to hold you.

MR. FRENCHMAN: I don't want to. 1 2 THE COURT: I'm not. I'm not closing you off. 3 At this point, do you foresee any date for an 4 evidentiary hearing on the motions? 5 MR. FRENCHMAN: I don't think we will need that. 6 THE COURT: Okav. 7 Motions due January 12, 2024; responses, January 26; replies, February 2; conference, March 14 at 10 a.m.; trial, 8 9 September 17, 2024, at 9 a.m.; notification of 404(b) evidence, 10 May 17, 2024; request to charge, voir dire requests, motions in 11 limine, June 7, 2024; responses and replies, June 21, 2024; pretrial conference, July 12, 2024, at 10 a.m.; final pretrial 12 13 conference, September 11 at 10:30 a.m. 14 Is that schedule satisfactory with everyone? 15 MR. HOBSON: Yes, your Honor. 16 MR. FRENCHMAN: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Note that the trial date is a firm trial date, so you 18 should all arrange your calendars and notify any other judges 19 20 that you've assured me that you're all available on 21 September 17, 2024, 9 a.m. for a trial of possibly three weeks. 22 I'll exclude, prospectively, the time from today until 23 September 17, 2024. 24 A continuance is designed to assure effective 25 assistance of counsel. It's required because of the complexity

25

of the case. It's required in order to accommodate trial 1 schedules and thus assure effective assistance of counsel. 2 3 The Court finds that the ends of justice served by ordering the continuance outweigh the best interest of the 4 5 public and the defendant in a speedy trial. This order of exclusion is made pursuant to 18, U.S.C. Section 6 7 3161(h)(7)(A). 8 Anything further? 9 MR. HOBSON: No, your Honor. MR. FRENCHMAN: Nothing further from the defense. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 Good to see you all. 13 If there are any issues that come up, just send me a 14 letter. 15 Thank you all. 16 (Adjourned) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24